# hr walling ford

## Surface water runoff - the overlooked threat?

Bridget Woods Ballard December 2023

#### Aim



• To take a look at surface water runoff quality and set the risk it poses in context

(current UKWIR project: costs, carbon, water quality benefits, modelling approaches... Stantec lead, due for publication early next year)

- To consider how SuDS could help mitigate the risk
- To consider some approaches and research from Europe





#### **CURRENT NORMAL**



#### Regulatory/ policy drivers

- WFD stated objectives include:
  - To prevent deterioration of, and protect/enhance/restore waterbody status
  - To progressively reduce pollution from priority substances and priority hazardous substances
    & to reduce pollutant levels to meet Env Qual Standards
- UWWTD The European Commission tabled its proposal to revise the UWWTD on 26 October 2022, as part of 'a zero-pollution legislative package'. The proposals include a requirement for:

locally integrated urban wastewater management plans should be established to <u>combat pollution from rain waters (urban runoff and</u> <u>CSOs)</u>

• GBR10 Scotland – Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2011. General Binding Rule 10 requires all development to be:

'drained by a SuD system or equivalent system equipped to avoid pollution of the water environment'

Regulatory/ policy drivers (continued)

• Schedule 3 Wales – Schedule 3 of the Flood & Water Management Act, implemented in January 2019 includes a statutory SuDS standard (S3) for water quality management:

Treatment for surface water runoff should be provided to prevent negative impacts on the receiving water quality and/or protect downstream systems...'

• Nothing? England – no current requirement to mitigate water quality impacts from urban runoff

Schedule 3 of Flood & Water Management Act (with similar water quality standards to Wales?) expected within 12 months (?)

### Urban runoff pollutants

atmosphere roofs roads car parks pavements driveways rubbish storage gardens/ landscaping • Solids

- Heavy metals
- Biodegradable organic matter (COD/BOD)
- Organic micropollutants (e.g. hydrocarbons, PAHs, PCBs, fire retardants, insecticides etc)
- Pathogenic microorganisms (e.g. E-coli)
- Nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus)
- Microplastics

Note: the chemical 'cocktail' effect is also of concern

- 1. Short-term impacts
- 2. Long-term impacts
- 3. Physical impacts

#### Currently

'prevents 18% of water bodies from achieving good ecological status' (Defra, 2021) What influences the 'numbers'?

- Current (and historic) land use activities e.g. residential roofs/metal commercial roofing; vehicle parking, roads, highways, industrial use
- Nature of the runoff surfaces (permeability, texture, depth)
- Intensity of storm, frequency of storms, weather condition between storms
- Drainage system characteristics (e.g. pipes and gullies can act as sources and sinks, depending on the event; in-sewer deposition, erosion, bio-degradation)
- Variability of biological and physico-chemical characteristics of pollutants themselves
- Uncertainty in sampling and chemical analyses

We need studies that aggregate lots of data or very wellestablished databases e.g. US National Stormwater Quality Database



## Solids (TSS)



- Sources: soil erosion, dust, litter, human activity, atmospheric deposition, construction activities
- Smothers habitat and aquatic life, limits light penetration and vegetation growth
- Associated with toxic pollutants that adsorb to its surfaces.
- Median urban runoff EMCs generally: 50-250 mg/l (but can be magnitudes higher):
  - Urban runoff similar to CSO concentrations
  - Untreated wastewater 100-350 mg/l
  - Treated wastewater: around 20 mg/l
  - EQSs: 10-30 mg/l
  - SuDS normally focussed on TSS capture: bioretention effluent concentrations: 4-10 mg/l



# Public health contaminants



- Bacteria and disease-causing organisms from pet and bird faeces, rubbish and waste management facilities, decaying litter and plant matter, and misconnections
- Faecal coliform levels vary widely e.g. 2000-90,000/100 ml but possibly up to 2 OoM greater
  - Recreational standards < 500 / 100 ml
  - CSOs will have higher bacteria concentrations, untreated wastewater significantly higher
  - Treated wastewater will have very low concentrations
  - SuDS effluent concentrations highly variable, unlikely to reduce consistently to level of standards.
     Open SuDS can be exporters



#### Nutrients



- Causes eutrophication, algal blooms, species imbalances, public health threats and general decline in waterbody quality
- Sources: fertilisers, animal waste, misconnections, sediments, engine lubricants, corrosion inhibitors, atmospheric deposition
- Total P EMCs:
  - Similar to recommended standards
  - Leaf litter and high P compost are significant contributors
  - SuDS only effective if routinely maintained
- Total N EMCs:
  - Individual N compound contributions unlikely to be critical apart from unionised ammonia
  - Significant sectoral contribution to N loadings in waterbodies
  - Misconnections may require dilution factors > 100:1
  - Consistent removal in SuDS is complex and challenging



#### Heavy metals



- Derive mainly from vehicles and building materials / roofing
- Toxic to soil and plant health, bio-accumulated by fish and invertebrates
- Often adsorbed to sediments but can be dissolved
- Recent studies aggregating large numbers of datasets suggests Cu, Zn and Pb EMCs are likely to be > than 100 x EQSs
- Urban runoff likely to have similar or higher heavy metal concentrations to treated wastewater
- SuDS designed for sediment removal, sorption and precipitation can effectively reduce concentrations to safe levels



#### Petroleum Hydrocarbons



- Exhaust emissions, vehicle leaking, oil storage tanks, improper disposal of waste oil,
- Oil and grease, VOCs and PAHs (more immobile, more persistent, more toxic, higher bioaccumulation rates, carcinogenic, alter ecosystems)
- Concentrations tend to be correlated with amount of traffic
- Fluoranthene and Benzo(A)pyrene (linked to engine combustion) are of particular concern
- PAH concentrations in urban runoff likely to > treated wastewater and CSO effluent streams, requiring > 100 x dilution for acceptable toxicity levels.
- Sediment removal prior to capture in permanent water bodies is crucial; capture and degradation of PAHs is most effective in components that dry between events



Synthetic Organic Compounds



- Manufactured compounds including pesticides, insecticides, solvents, household and industrial chemicals
- Even low concentrations of regular discharges are highly toxic
- Pesticide concentrations may be higher in urban areas than agricultural areas
- Misconnections mean domestic pharmaceuticals also found
- PFOA and PFOS (forever chemicals stain/grease/water repellent materials, wrappers, shampoos...) concentrations likely to be 2-3 0oM > EQSs
- Likely to be higher concentrations in CSOs and wastewater effluents (WWTPs don't remove these contaminants effectively)
- UKWIR (2022) suggests wetlands may be effective at removing PFOS but data very limited



#### **Microplastics**



- Tyres, brakes, road marking materials, roof and building material 'coatings', roof membranes, PVC gutters, degraded litter, plastic pellets, microbeads... tyre derived microplastics including rubber core, plus additives, plus attached brake-abrasion particles
- Impacts and relative toxicity poorly understood
- Large surface areas which act as carriers of other pollutants (in particular, persistent organic pollutants)
- Road runoff likely to contribute 40% of microplastics found in the water environment
- Initial findings indicate SuDS very effective at removal (depending on particle density) but efficacy rates may reduce through time and sinks could become sources



# Direct surface water discharges (10 years of micropollutant monitoring (NB excludes bacteria, nutrients) : Mutzner et al, 2022)



#### What is happening elsewhere in Europe?

Surface systems - treated in roadside swales



- Germany has new regulations specifying treatment levels of stormwater prior to discharge to surface and groundwaters (2020)
- Copenhagen... road runoff is all treated

Surface system – treated in biofiltration systems



Combined systems – treated n WWTW and when flows exceed a threshold, <sup>16</sup>in-sewer diverters re-direct water to surface (or sub-surface) storage





#### Germany: full scale retention soil filter for CSO treatment



**Italy:** pilot plant for removing suspended solids, COD, N, P, metals and PFAS, based on adsorption and microfiltration



Tunisia: The treatment of stormwater and CSO volumes using hybrid filtration (with bespoke flocculants) and constructed wetland technologies



Norway: Impact of retrofit bioretention on CSO spill performance



Switzerland: use of rainfall radar and active system control



Belgium: large-scale treatment and disconnection of highway runoff using swales, filter systems and infiltration





#### Water quality benefits linked to hydraulics i.e

- surface water sewer: no runoff = no pollution
- combined sewer: no runoff = improved capacity



### Water quality

Inflows and outflows



21



Treated discharge

**ON-LINE SUDS BENEFITS** 

#### To conclude:

- Surface water has been, and continues to be, an overlooked threat
- A wide range of toxic, hazardous substances are present in stormwater runoff at levels that, in many cases, exceed those found in CSOs, treated wastewater effluent and EQSs
- The risk posed by surface water runoff should be considered alongside CSO improvements within catchment strategies
- There is pertinent research going on in Europe
- SuDS are a valuable part of integrated solutions
- Policy and regulation are likely to evolve... currently unclear how
- Need for better evidence, monitoring standards, and appropriate environmental standards: data is almost universally from outside of the UK



## Thank you